



CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 15 2016

Sherril R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
By Fernando Becerra, Jr., Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

CYNTHIA ANDERSON-BARKER,
Petitioner,

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, and DOES 1 through
100, inclusive,
Respondents.

Case No. BS 159845
(Assigned to Dept. 86, Hon. Amy D.
Hogue, presiding)

**JUDGMENT
DIRECTING ISSUANCE OF WRIT
OF MANDATE**

After consideration of Petitioner's Motion for Order Compelling Disclosure of Public Records, the opposition thereto, and the reply, and pursuant to Gov't Code § 6258, the Court hereby issues judgment as follows:

For reasons set forth in the Court's October 19, 2016 ruling, Petitioner's Motion for issuance of Writ of Mandate is GRANTED to the following extent: Respondent City of Los Angeles is directed to provide copies to Petitioner of (1) CHP 180 forms, issued at any time from January 1, 2008 to the present documenting vehicle seizures pursuant to Cal. Veh. Code § 21100.4, and for the same time period (2) the VIIC data in its electronic format concerning vehicles seized pursuant to Cal. Veh. Code § 21100.4, EXCEPT THAT Respondent may redact from the CHP 180 forms and VIIC data vehicle the following information: owners' names, addresses and other personal identifiers (such as driver's license number, social security number and medical information, if any). Production shall occur within 90 days after

1 issuance of the writ of mandate (unless extended by good cause or by the parties' agreement).

2 Petitioner is the prevailing party. As such, she is entitled to recover her costs of suit as against
3 Respondent, in the amount of \$_____. Petitioner is also entitled to an award of reasonable
4 attorneys fees upon the filing of the appropriate motion.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: 11-15-16

7
8 AMY D. HOGUE, JUDGE

9 _____
 AMY D. HOGUE
 Judge, Los Angeles Superior Court